## NATIONAL COMPANY LAW APPELLATE TRIBUNAL NEW DELHI

## Company Appeal (AT) (Ins) No.84 of 2020

[Arising out of Order dated 06.11.2019 passed by National Company Law Tribunal, New Delhi (Court No.IV) in Company Petition No. IB-684/ND/2019]

IN THE MATTER OF:	Before NCLT	Before NCLAT
Chunilal Kanjibhai Gohel Director of Paadam International Hotels Pvt. Ltd. 8-th New Rebriwasa Veraval, Somnath Gir – 362265		Appellant
Versus		
<ol> <li>M/s. Indian Corp Law Anantprabha LGF, C-154, Sector – 51, Noida – 201301</li> </ol>	Applicant/ Operational Creditor	Respondent No.1
2. Paadm International Hotels Pvt. Limited L-7, S/F Rajouri Garden, New Delhi – 110027	Respondent/ Corporate Debtor	Respondent No.2
3. M/s. Rashmi Agarwalla O-701 Green Valley Apartments, Plot No.18, Sector 22 Dwarka – 110075		Respondent No.3

For Appellant: Counsel appeared but did not mark appearance

For Respondents: Ms. Priyanshu, Advocate (R-1)

Shri Sabhay Choudhary, Advocate (R-3)

## ORDER

or.o2.2020 Heard Counsel for the Appellant. The Appeal has been filed against admission of Application under Section 9 of Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016 (IBC – in short) which was filed by Respondent No.1 – M/s. Indian Corp Law. Advocate – Ms. Priyanshu is present on behalf of Respondent No.1 and states that after the Application under Section 9 was admitted on 6th November, 2019, the Appellant had contacted the Operation Creditor and the dues of the Operational Creditor were cleared on 15th January, 2020 by making payment through RTGS regarding which the Affidavit has been filed vide Diary No.18647. The Appeal was filed on 12th December, 2019 and on 20th January, 2020, this Tribunal had directed the IRP not to constitute Committee of Creditors.

- 2. The learned Counsel for the Respondent No.1 states that the Respondent No.1 is willing to withdraw the original Application filed under Section 9 as the Respondent No.1 has received its dues. The learned Counsel for the IRP accepts that he has not received any other claims of any other debtors. It is accepted that COC has not been constituted.
- 3. It is stated that one claim, which was received, was rejected for want of documents. Learned Counsel for IRP states that the IRP has incurred CIRP costs and his fees are to be settled.
- 4. In view of the Affidavit filed by the Respondent No.1 and after hearing the parties, exercising powers under Rule 11 of National Company Law Appellate Tribunal Rules, 2016, we set aside Impugned Order and permit

3

Respondent No.1 to withdraw the Application under Section 9 of IBC. The

Application shall stand disposed as withdrawn.

5. The Impugned Order is quashed. Actions taken by IRP/RP in

consequence of the Impugned Order are quashed and set aside. The Corporate

Debtor is released from the rigour of law and is allowed to function

independently through its Board of Directors. The IRP/RP will hand back the

records and management of the affairs of Corporate Debtor, to the Board of

Directors. The IRP/RP will place particulars regarding CIRP costs and fees

before the Adjudicating Authority and the Adjudicating Authority after

examining the same, will direct the Corporate Debtor to pay the same in time

to be specified by the Adjudicating Authority. In case of default, parties would

be at liberty to move this Tribunal for recall of the present Order.

The Appeal is disposed accordingly.

[Justice A.I.S. Cheema]

Member (Judicial)

(Justice A.B. Singh)

Member (Judicial)

[Kanthi Narahari] Member (Technical)

/rs/qc