NATIONAL COMPANY LAW APPELLATE TRIBUNAL NEW DELHI

Company Appeal (AT) (Insolvency) No. 824 of 2019

IN THE MATTER OF:

Voyages Booth ...Appellant

Versus

HWT Travels Pvt. Ltd. ...Respondent

Present:

For Appellant: Mr. Rajan Chaudhary, Advocate

ORDER

Learned counsel for the Appellant submits that the certified copy of the impugned order dated 4th July, 2019 had been handed over on 24th July, 2019 and it is also noticed from the certified copy issued by the National Company Law Tribunal, New Delhi Bench-III. He further submits that the appeal has been filed on 7th August, 2019 and thereby there is no delay in filing if it is counted from the date of receipt of the certified copy but formal condonation of delay application has been filed.

Having heard learned counsel for the appellant and taking into consideration that the certified copy has been delivered on 24th July, 2019, we find that there is no delay in filing the appeal.

I.A. No. 2504 of 2019 stands disposed of.

The Appellant - 'Voyages Booth' preferred an application u/s 9 of the 'Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2019 (for short, 'the I&B Code') against the Respondent - 'HWT Travels Private Limited. The Adjudicating Authority

(National Company Law Tribunal), New Delhi, Bench-III by the impugned order dated 4th July, 2019 dismissed the application taking into consideration the stand taken by the 'Corporate Debtor' and in absence of any record to suggest that the Appellant comes within the meaning of 'Operational Creditor', referred to the reply, which mentioned:

- "ii. The Applicant has not submitted any documents in which there is any specific word "of grant of agency and working for the company" mentioned. Further, the Applicant has not submitted a single paper in which there is any specification regarding assignment of any kind of job by the answering Respondent to the Applicant.
- iii. The Respondents deny any relation with the Applicant. It is noteworthy that the Applicant has not submitted a single document showing that any transaction between the parties had ever occurred. Moreover, the Applicant has miserably failed to file any bills/invoices/demands raised by the Applicant."

In paragraph 3 of the Impugned order, it was held:

"3. We have gone through the details of documents filed by both the parties and heard the

arguments of both the counsels. The agreement placed on record by the Applicant is incomplete and not signed by either party and thus, not conclusive regarding the relationship of the two parties. The Applicant has not enclosed any invoices raised by the Applicant and payable by the Respondent for the services rendered. The deduction of TDS is not sufficient for us to infer the nature of relationship that existed between the parties. Thus, the Applicant has failed to bring on record anything to establish the transactions which took place between the parties and that debt is due to the Applicant from the Respondent as a consequence of the transaction."

Learned counsel for the Appellant relied on the 'Statement of Accounts' but they do not reflect any relationship of 'Operational Creditor' and the 'Corporate Debtor'. It alleged that the Appellant was working on behalf of the 'Corporate Debtor'. Reliance has been placed on the document dated 29th January, 2016, which is extracted below:

	Additional Documenti	77
200		
		7/
- ANA	IO. AABCHOGGSG	200
RegL No	Section: AASCH0968GSD003 Section: AASCH0968GSD	AT.
	(A Unit of HWT Travels Private Limited)	
	310; Prakash Deep Building, 7 Tolistry Marg, New Delhii - 310001	23
1	Tel.: +91-11.43638888, Pax: +51-31.43638899	
		-
	In connection with my application for Agency (including contract for services), I understand that	
	consumer reports or investigative consumer reports which may contain public record information may	
	be requested or made on the including consumer credit, criminal records, driving record, education,	
	prior employer verification, workers compensation claims and others. These reports will include	
	exparience along with reasons for termination of past employment. Further, I understand that you will	28
	be requesting information from various States, Local and Central Agencies, which contain my past	
	activities. I heroby authorize without reservation, any party or agency contacted by Harrworld Travels	
11 11 11	Pvt. Ltd. Furnish the above-mentioned information. I further authorize ongoing procurement of the	
	above-mentioned reports at any time during my contract period. I understand to aid in the proper	
5745	Identification of my file or records, the following information, as well as other informationthat is	1
10	hecessary	1
	Lest Name Mithra First Miletil Middle Kunnon Street	1/
	Address baid no. 42 THD Magazatic Sector 49	
	City Guagason State Haven 719 122010 County Tradion	
	Abethar card/Voter ID (DAM) BELPMANNO State U.P. FOR IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES	
	Date of Birth 03/06/09 Gender M Former	
*	Names	
	Signature	
	The sales of District	
	Taxes and Security Deposit:	
440	1) As per the income Tax notification 10 % TDS shall be deducted in case of credit card commission.	
	that will be payable to the Agency.	
	2) Government Service Tax of 14.5% shall be levied on the issuance charges.	1
	3) The total security deposit of USD 20,000 shall be retained out of which USD10,000 has to be paid	
	up front on the approval of the Agency registration.	
	4) Security deposit may increase in accordance with growth in the total Gross sale of the Agency.	
•	S) In case of the closure of the business with Agency the security deposit shall be refunded after 180	
	days of the last transaction that has happened with the airline and/or Hariworld Travels.	
	6) At the time of the closure or final settlement all the partners /directors of the firm whether	
80	currently associated or not should be present physically or will have to give a written approval to	
	settle the accounts.	
	PLACE: NEW DELHI	
	DATED 20.01.2016	
	DATED: 29.01.2016	
	Surmai	
	40	-
* 1		
	M/S. VOYAGES BOOTH M/S. VOYAGES BOOTH	
	M/S. VOYAGES BOOTH M/S. VOYAGES BOOTH	
	orbit renter	

The aforesaid document do not suggest that the 'Corporate Debtor' engaged the Appellant as the Agency of the company. It is a mere information

given to the Appellant but no acceptance of the same was placed on record before the Adjudicating Authority or before this Appellate Tribunal.

For the reason aforesaid, we are not inclined to interfere with the aforesaid order. The appeal is dismissed. No costs.

[Justice S.J. Mukhopadhaya] Chairperson

[Justice A.I.S. Cheema] Member (Judicial)

> [Kanthi Narahari] Member (Technical)

/ns/gc