### NATIONAL COMPANY LAW APPELLATE TRIBUNAL

#### **NEW DELHI**

## COMPANY APPEAL (AT) (Ins.) NO. 306 OF 2019

## IN THE MATTER OF

Pradeep M.R. ...Appellant

Vs

Ravindra Belayur R.P. of Merchem Ltd. & Ors.

Respondents

Mr. Kartik Malhotra, Advocate for Appellant.

Mr. K.V. Balakrishnan & Mr. R. K. Sharma, Advocates for Respondent No.1. Mr. P.V. Dinesh, Ms. Sindhu T.P & Mr. Ashwini Kumar Singh, Advocates for Respondent No.-2.

Mr. N.P.S. Chawla, Mr Surekh K. Baxy, Advocates for Respondent No.-3

Mr. Ravindra Belayur, Advocate for Resolution Professional.

## With

# COMPANY APPEAL (AT)(Ins.) NO. 307 OF 2019

#### IN THE MATTER OF

Merchem (India) Pvt. Ltd. & Anr.

...Appellants

Vs

Ravindra Belayur R.P. of Merchem Ltd. & Ors. Respondents

Mr. S. Santanam Swaminadhan, Advocate for Appellants.

Mr. K.V. Balakrishnan & Mr. R. K. Sharma, Advocates for Respondent No.-1, Mr. P.V. Dinesh, Ms. Sindhu T.P & Mr. Ashwini Kumar Singh, Advocates for Respondent No.-2. Mr NPS Chawla, Mr. Surekh K Baxy, Advocates for Respondent No.-3 & Mr. Ravindra Belayur, Advocate for Resolution Professional.

#### With

## COMPANY APPEAL (AT)(Ins.) NO. 315 OF 2019

## IN THE MATTER OF

P.E. Thomas ...Appellant

Vs

Ravindra Belayur R.P. of Merchem Ltd. & Ors.

.... Respondents

Mr. Sumit Kumar Chauhan, Advocate for Appellant.

Mr. K.V. Balakrishnan & Mr. R. K. Sharma, Advocates for Respondent No.-1, Mr. P.V. Dinesh, Ms. Sindhu T.P & Mr. Ashwini Kumar Singh, Advocates for Respondent No.-2, Mr. NPS Chawla, Mr. Surekh K Baxy, Advocates for Respondent No.-3 & Mr. Ravindra Belayur, Advocate for Resolution Professional.

#### With

# COMPANY APPEAL (AT) NO. 554 OF 2019

## IN THE MATTER OF

Industrial Enginnering Co.

...Appellant

Vs

Merchem Ltd. & Anr.

...

Respondents

None for Appellant.

Mr. K.V. Balakrishnan & Mr. R. K. Sharma, Advocates for Respondent No.-1, Mr NPS Chawla and Mr. Surekh K Baxy, Advocates for Respondent No.-3 & Mr. Ravindra Belayur, Advocate for Resolution Professional.

## ORDER

14.02.2020: On repeated calls nobody appears for Appellant in Company Appeal (AT) No.554/2019. Learned counsel for Successful Resolution Applicant has argued for more than an hour. However, he says that he has not concluded his arguments. He seeks further time to argue the matter.

2. Learned counsel for the Resolution Professional is seeking adjournment on the ground that the arguing counsel is unwell. Considered the submissions. Let the appeals be fixed for hearing on **2<sup>nd</sup> March**, **2020**. It is made clear that no further adjournment will be granted on any ground.

(Justice Jarat Kumar Jain)
Member (Judicial)

(Mr. Balvinder Singh) Member (Technical)

(Dr. Ashok Kumar Mishra) Member (Technical)

sim/kam