NATIONAL COMPANY LAW APPELLATE TRIBUNAL, PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI Company Appeal (AT) (Insolvency) No. 888 of 2020

In the matter of:

D.K. MohantyAppellant

Vs.

Jai Balaji Industries & Anr.

....Respondents

Company Appeal (AT) (Insolvency) No. 889 of 2020

In the matter of:

D.K. MohantyAppellant

Vs.

Jai Balaji Industries & Anr.

....Respondents

Present:

Appellant: Ms. Surekha Raman, Advocate.

Respondents: Mr. Diwakar Maheshwari, Ms. Pratiksha Mishra,

Advocates for R1.

Mr. Santosh Choraria, Mr. Rahul Auddy, Advocates

for R2-IRP

ORDER

(Through Virtual Mode)

15.03.2021: It being brought to the notice of this Appellate Tribunal that in minutes of proceedings recorded on 4th March, 2021, continuation of interim direction has been left out inadvertently, the Registry was given a verbal direction to list the matter for orders.

Contd/-	•		•	•		•			•	•		•		
---------	---	--	---	---	--	---	--	--	---	---	--	---	--	--

-2-

2. After hearing learned counsel for the parties and going through the

interim orders, we find that on 12th October, 2020, while issuing notice, we

had, as an ad-interim directed that in view of the pendency of appeal against

the arbitral award, the impugned order shall be put on hold till next date of

hearing. This interim direction was thereafter continued till 18th February,

2021. However, in the minutes of proceedings recorded on 4th March, 2021, the

same appears to have escaped the notice of this Appellate Tribunal while

recording the minutes of proceedings. This being an error on the part of the

Court itself, needs to be rectified so that no prejudice is caused to the parties.

We accordingly direct rectification of the interim order dated 4th March, 2021

by incorporating following at the end:

"Interim direction to continue."

The corrected version of the order be issued and placed on record.

[Justice Bansi Lal Bhat]
Acting Chairperson

[Dr. Ashok Kumar Mishra] Member (Technical)

AR/nn